

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Los Lunas F.O.

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ____ Final Rating ____

1. Plants - 65 Potential Points

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
Grazing Plan:	Intensive			30		
	Seasonal			15		
	Continuous			0		
Deferment Period:	>75% of Growing Season			20		
	51-75% of Growing Season			10		
	26-50% of Growing Season			5		
	0-25% of Growing Season			0		
Stocking Rate:	1 aum/>11 ac.			15		
	1 aum/9-11 ac.			10		
	1 aum/2.9-3.9 Acres			5		
	1 aum/<2.9 Acres			0		
1. Plants				Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 160 Potential Points

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil Erosion - Sheet and Rill, Deposition			
Windbreaks (392)	0-10		
Diversion (362)	0-10		
Streambank Protection (580), Grade Stabilization Structure (410)	0-10		
Water Quantity - Inefficient Water Use on Non-irrigated Land			
Livestock Wells (642), Troughs (614), Livestock Pipeline (516)	0-10		
Pond (378)	0-10		
Spring Development (547)	0-10		
Water Quality - Surface Water Contaminants, Suspended Sediments			
Brush Management (314) - Mechanical Treatment	0-10		
Brush Management (314) - Chemical Treatment	0-10		
Diversion (362)	0-10		
Air - Particulate Matter			
Range Planting (550)	0-10		
Plants (Productivity, Health and Vigor)			
Fencing (382)	0-10		
Brush Management	0-10		
Range Planting (550)	0-10		

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Los Lunas F.O.

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Continued

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.		Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Animals - Inadequate Stock Water, Inadequate Quantities & Quality of Feed				
and Forage	Range Planting (55)	0-10		
	Fencing (382)	0-10		
	Well (642), L/s Pipeline (516), Troughs (614)	0-5		
	Brush Management (314)	0-5		
2. Conservation Practice Selection		Total:		

3. Other Considerations - 25 Potential Points

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.		Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)		5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.		5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.		5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.		5	0	
E. Attended a grazing land workshop or implement range monitoring sites.		5	0	
3. Other Considerations			0	

Points Earned (After minus Benchmark): Sec. 1 _____ Sec. 2 _____ Sec. 3 _____ Total _____

Designated Conservationist

Date